Programme Inform	ation & PL	Os					
Title of the new progra	amme – inclu	uding any year abroad/ in industry variants					
BA Social & Political Sci	iences with P	Philosophy					
Level of qualification							
Please select:		Level 6					
					Year in Industry		
Please indicate if the p	programme is	s offered with any year abroad / in industry v	variants		Please select Y/N		No
· · · · ·	Ū				Year Abroad		
<b>D</b>					Please select Y/N		Yes
Department(s):	deperturent	is involved indicate the load department					
where more than one	department	is involved, indicate the lead department	1				
Lead Department	Politics						
Other contributing	Untited						
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	Sociology, So	cial Policy & Social Work and Philosophy					
Programme Leader	011						
Dr Kevin Farnsworth - I		chool					
Mrs Auriel Hamilton - S	School Coord	inator					
		partment of Philosophy aspects of the Pedago				•	
		iet comprised largely from four large-populati	•			•	
		trial basis. These radical changes to the Philo		0,	•	•	<b>c</b> ,
Stage 2 Philosophy was	s entirely con	npatible with those of the contributing SPS de	epartments but the new c	urriculum design introdu	ces an element of asymme	try. PLEASE NOTE	: (i) The programme described
below (including in the	Programme	Structure and Programme Map) is the revised	d programme including th	e Philosophy changes to	Year 2. (ii) The Enhanceme	ent Plan will give s	ome further details of these
already-fully-agreed ch	anges and th	neir motivation. (iii) Notes on the changes tha	it have already been fully	agreed by BoS will be cle	early distinguished from not	tes on further cha	anges which are projected to
the programme (includ	ling further p	ossible changes to Year 2). The School of SPS	has been kept informed of	of these developments be	ut we have not had, and no	or would we expec	ct direct input into the
deliberations of the Ph	ilosophy Boa	rd of Studies. To a lesser extent, the same cor	nstraints apply to curricul	um and assessment refo	rms that have been introdu	iced or planned b	y the main contributing
departments. The Scho	ool of SPS the	refore needs to be flexible in responding to n	ew pedagogical innovation	ons and aspirations from	the contributing department	nts, which can lim	it our ability to forward plan.
We are, however, conf	ident that th	e Programme Learning Outcomes of all four d	lepartments are broadly a	aligned which is testamer	nt to an underlying shared p	pedagogic culture	in the social sciences and
philosophy at the University	ersity of York	κ.					
Statement of purpose	for applicant	ts to the programme					

The BA in Social and Political Sciences with Philosophy aims to equip you with the social scientific and philosophical knowledge and skills that are essential to understanding and meeting the challenges of a complex, inter-connected global society. The inclusion of in-depth consideration of philosophical issues and methods will sharpen your skills in analysis and the critical evaluation of ideas and theories through systematic logical argument. While the SPS with Philosophy degree is strongly interdisciplinary, it is distinctive in allowing students to design their own programme of study alongside a common foundational and research methods skills programme. Graduates emerge as well rounded and well-trained social scientists with excellent analytical and communication skills and with the essential knowledge and critical understanding needed for higher degrees and graduate careers in the private, public and not-for-profit sectors.

•	ime Learning Outcomes
	rovide six to eight statements of what a graduate of the programme can be expected to do.
	gether, these outcomes should capture the distinctive features of the programme. They should also be outcomes for which progressive achievement through the course of the programme can be
	ed, and which will therefore be reflected in the design of the whole programme.
	On successful completion of the programme, graduates will be able to:
	Make critical and analytical contributions to our collective understanding of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world by continually engaging with social scientific and philosophical theories and research. Graduates will be equipped with the knowledge and expertise required for higher degrees in the social sciences and equivalent level qualifications.
2	Relate theoretical and applied knowledge in the social sciences and philosophy to contemporary social and political problems and issues of value and draw on insights from individual disciplines in the analysis of broader questions and challenges.
3	Understand and explain key problems, issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and the social and political sciences (including some at the forefront of contemporary work) and apply this understanding in an open-minded and creative way in approaching new problems.
4	Lay out what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a sustained line of argument based on the considerations raised.
5	Design and undertake ethical and responsible research projects which draw upon appropriate research techniques and statistical expertise, in order to challenge commonly held assumptions, evaluate real-life interactions and test proposed hypotheses.
6	Operate as resourceful, self-directed and reflective professionals and researchers, reflecting critically on personal strengths and limitations, and continuing to learn and develop skills.
7	Synthesise and communicate complex, sensitive information and arguments to diverse audiences, in appropriate formats, via a range of media and digital technologies.
8	Work creatively with teams, multi-agency groups, institutions and the public in ways that are considered, and respectful of the views, values and cultural position of others.
	Ime Learning Outcome for year in industry (where applicable)
For prog standard	rammes which lead to the title 'with a Year in Industry' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the IPLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year in industry b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the additional year in industry by alteration of the standard PLOs.
NA	
	ime Learning Outcome for year abroad programmes (where applicable)
PLOs list	rammes which lead to the title 'with a Year Abroad' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard ed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad ot b) an additional performed by the year abroad ot b) and the standard PLOs.
	critical and analytical contributions to our collective understanding of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world by continually engaging with social scientific and
	hical theories and research in different national contexts. Graduates will be equipped with the knowledge and expertise required for higher degrees in the social sciences and equivalent level
qualifica	
	theoretical and applied knowledge in the social sciences and philosophy to contemporary social and political problems in different national contexts and draw on insights from individual
	es in the analysis of broader questions and challenges.
3. Under	erstand and explain key problems, issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and the social and political sciences (including some at the forefront of contemporary work) and apply erstanding in an open-minded and creative way in approaching new problems.
4. Lay οι	It what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a d line of argument based on the considerations raised.
5. Desigi	and undertake ethical and responsible research projects which draw upon appropriate research techniques and statistical expertise, in order to challenge commonly held assumptions, evaluate interactions and test proposed hypotheses.
carine	

6. Operate as resourceful, self-directed and reflective professionals and researchers, reflecting critically on personal strengths and limitations, and continuing to learn and acquire skills developed in culturally and nationally diverse teaching and learning environments.

7. Synthesise and communicate complex, sensitive information and arguments to diverse audiences, in appropriate formats, via a range of media and digital technologies.

8. Work creatively with teams, multi-agency groups, institutions and the public in ways that are considered, and respectful of the views, values and cultural position of others.

iii) How the programme learning outcomes develop students' digital literacy and will make appropriate use of technology-enhanced learning (such as lecture recordings, online resources, simulations, online assessment, 'flipped classrooms' etc)?

This is most explicitly addressed in PLO 7. Our School continues to work closley with its contributing departments and learning support teams in incorporating media and digital technologies in the design of its programmes of study. The School uses the VLE from pre-registration to inform students of module options and induction activities, while in each of the three stages, key administrative, welfare and teaching resources are regularly updated along with extra curricular activities and careers and employability events on the VLE and School website. Through our contributing departments SPS students benefit from digital media based teaching resources including lecture capture, wikis and module blogs. In Politics PLO 7 reflects the progressive development of student's digital literacy through the programme. The new What is Politics? module makes use of student blogs to promote collaborative work and online feedback. Lecture Capture is being trialled in core modules and the Political Enquiry module now make use of self-lecture capture.

In the Social Policy programme digital literacy is developed both vertically through the stages of progression to final attainment and horizontally as part of the learning and assessment strategy for each of the modules. Different elements of digital literacy are addressed at foundational, intermediate and more advanced levels through the stages. While level 1 enables foundational literacy, modules at levels 2 and 3 aim for depth of skill development rather than breadth. Digital literacy development is directly linked to the practices relevant to the discipline, for example, engagement with policy debate which inlcudes both academic knowledge and understanding, and the ability to undertake engagement activities across social media fora and to contribute as well as utilise online information sources. The Philosophy programme makes extensive use of technology-enhanced learning. A large proportion of lectures are available in online recordings. Modules are supported with extensive online resources, including module outlines, lecture notes, seminar guidance, readings, and supplementary notes. Online submission is used regularly and some modules feature online assessments (e.g. Beginning Philosophy). Most of our contributing departments have now moved entirely to online submission and online assessment in all undergraduate modules. The School website and VLE coordinates information regarding submission deadlines and protocols for each of the four departments. The School was also one of the first joint programmes involved in the Online Module Catalogue and the School Coordinator works closely with the academic support team to suggest improvements and better functionality. Planned ehancements for the Social Research Methods module of the SPS Forum (where students record and edit videos of visiting speaker sessions) and/or want to make short films in support of their dissertation. Digital technologies will also be utilised to prepare students for the challenges of learning in new cultural environments in their year abr

iv) How the PLOs support and enhance the students' employability (for example, opportunities for students to apply their learning in a real world setting)?

The programme's employability objectives should be informed by the University's Employability Strategy:

http://www.york.ac.uk/about/departments/support-and-admin/careers/staff/

The School works closely with the Careers Service to offer information and networking events with former social science graduates and alumni at all stages of the programme. Student course representatives and the SPS Society are supported in providing additional employer recruitment and networking events. The School enhancement plans include an application to the University's rapid response fund to set up a peer mentoring system for undergraduates that will also contribute to the skills evidence for the York Award. We are also planning to develop internship opportunities related to appropriate dissertation research themes during the summer vacation prior to the commencement of Stage 3. Transferable skills and employability workshops are integrated into the Stage 3 dissertation module - the SPS Forum.

vi) How will students who need additional support for academic and transferable skills be identified and supported by the Department?

Students are introduced to key academic skills in each of their core modules in Stage 1 and performance is monitored by SPS supervisors with additional oversight from the director. We identify students with particular learning needs and where appropriate consult with the Disability Office in the drawing up of a learning support plan that is shared with module tutors and the examinations office (and where appropriate the library and careers service). In Stage 2 - the Social Research Methods module provides a further opportunity to monitor the progress of students in terms of key research and team work skills, and issues are picked up through for example referrals by module tutors and formative and summative assessments. In Stage 3 - all finalists are required to attend and contribute to the SPS Forum which includes advanced study and research skills and employability workshops. Module convenors from the contributing departments offer open-door hours where students can seek help and it is expected that personal supervisors will discuss these issues with individuals and provide advice and support for additional training and learning in these areas.

vii) How is teaching informed and led by research in the department/ centre/ University?

Students benefit from the research-led approach to teaching in all four departments. Our modules are taught by academics at the forefront of research across the social sciences and philosophy --from criminology to social psychology and contemporary and ancient philosophy. As international experts in their field, contributing staff advise governments and organisations and regularly contribute to news and current affairs programmes globally. Our expertise and experience feed directly into our teaching. In particular, our uniquely wide range of module options at Stage 2 and 3 provide opportunities to explore specialised research areas on which SPS staff are currently working.

#### Stage-level progression

Please complete the table below, to summarise students' progressive development towards the achievement of PLOs, in terms of the characteristics that you expect students to demonstrate at the end of each year. This summary may be particularly helpful to students and the programme team where there is a high proportion of option modules.

Note: it is not expected that a position statement is written for each PLO, but this can be done if preferred (please add information in the 'individual statement' boxes). For a statement that applies across all PLOs in the stage fill in the 'Global statement' box.

Stage 0 (if your programme has a Foundation year, use the toggles	to the left to show the hidde	n rows)			
Stage 1					
On progression from the first year (Stage 1), students will be able to	and politica ensure that real world p research in work and to such as Yorl supportive In Philosoph appropriate get to grips expected to accurately v arguments	I sciences and the ways in wh students are able to apply ki roblems; PLO5 will enable st the social and political science make effective use of writte schare; PO8 will help studen way with their peers. ny, students are exposed to c level of difficulty) that they with those readings), with si be able to recognize and art vith a reasonable level of unco pased on the evidence, show	and methodological componentich these can be applied to a nowledge and theories drawn udents to understand the ether and oral fedback; PLO7 will to demonstrate a capacity to the demonstrate a capacity carefully framed presentation are not required to go beyon gnificant support from introdiculate key differences betwoed derstanding, and to be able to engage in discussions	addressing problems (PLO1). In from the social and political nical challenges faced by rese ents to reflect critically on the I familiarise students with di to undertake group work an s of issues and debates with d (as the aim at this stage is luctory material and guiding een the positions considered to make evaluative judgements s of arguments such as validi	Relatedly PLO2 will I sciences to explore earchers undertaking eir written and oral gital learning platforms id to collaborate in a selected readings (of an to focus attention and questions. Students are I, to rehearse arguments its about positions and ty and plausibility of
	study quest	ions, listening carefully to the	e contributions of others and	responding constructively.	- ·
PLO 1 PLO 2 PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8

critical and analytical contributions to social scientific knowledge. Students will progress with a solid foundational knowledge of the	Demonstrate an ability to relate theoretical and applied knowledge in the social sciences and philosophy to contemporary social and political problems. They will also demonstrate a capacity to use insights drawn from individual disciplines to critique and challenge rival explanations of the social and political world.	Understand and explain the main features of some key problems, issues, and debates presented in module materials; understand and explain some key fundamental concepts, terminology, and notation required for understanding philosophical texts and discussions; and, in relation to particular problems and issues, be able to articulate some alternative solutions laid out in central module materials (including set readings, lecture	Articulate arguments laid out in central module materials for and against the alternative solutions considered in relation to a particular problem; and make a judgement about what is the best view on a particular problem, relating this to the evidence considered, showing sensitivity to key features of arguments including validity and plausibility of premisses	Understand the ethical issues and methodological approaches of applied research in the social sciences and philosophy.	Through formative and summative individual assessments and group work reflect critically on personal strengths and limitations while continuing to learn and develop skills within and beyond the curriculum.	Use media and digital technologies to share and access social scientific and philosophical knowledge within their study groups and for the purposes of assessment.	Show an awareness of the importance of effective collaboration in group work and the need to respect the views, values and cultural position of others in teaching and learning interactions.
Stage 2 On progression from t	the second year (Stage 2), students	s will be able to:	social and political In Philosophy, stude topic area in philoso difficult puzzles and exploring the releve positions, and argu to consider and we	sciences and philosophy, e ents are expected to devel- ophy. They are given key i I materials and/or are exp ant literature than in Year ments in clear and concise	evaluating their coherence of op reasonable depth and b readings and introduced to ected to do more in terms of 1. They are expected to exp terms. They are expected	nods of of the major disciplin and usefulness in addressing readth of knowledge and un the issues, but they are expo of developing their own und press their understanding of to argue for their view on a ponses to those objections.	problems. derstanding in a key ected to tackle more erstanding and f key problems, issues, question or issue, and
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8

assess and critique the distinctive claims of political science, sociology and social policy as discrete disciplines and their common theoretical and methodolgical features. Stage 3 (For Integrated Masters) On will be able to:		study to novel problems	argue in defence of this judgement, weighing objections to arguments and responses to these objections			protocols for online submission of assessed work.	
PLO 1 PLO 2	2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8

#### Module Structure and Summative Assessment Map

Please complete the summary table below which shows the module structure and the pattern of summative assessment through the programme.

'Option module' can be used in place of a specific named option. If the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided in the next section.

From the drop-down select 'S' to indicate the start of the module, 'A' to indicate the timing of each distinct summative assessment point (eg. essay submission/ exam), and 'E' to indicate the end of the module (if the end of the module coincides with the summative assessment select 'EA'). It is not expected that each summative task will be listed where an overall module might be assessed cumulatively (for example weekly problem sheets).

If summative assessment by exams will be scheduled in the summer Common Assessment period (weeks 5-7) a single 'A' can be used within the shaded cells as it is understood that you will not know in which week of the CAP the examination will take place.

Stage 0 (if you have modules for Stage 0, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

Stage 1																																
Credits		Module		_		Au	tum	n Te	rm			-				Sp	pring	g Term	ı		_	_				Sun	nmer	Term	l		_	_
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
30	POL00008C	What is Politics?	S													А										E			EA			
30	SPY00002C	Introducing Social Policy		S									A													E						
		Introduction to Sociological																														
30	SOC00002C	Theory		S								А										А			E				А			
10	PHI00002C	Early Modern Philosophy																												T		
		[or Reason and Argument B]		S								E	A																			
10	PHI00006C	Reason and Argument B [or																														
		Early Modern Philosophy]		S								E	А																			
		Knowledge and Perception																														
20	PHI00008C	[or Ethics]												S								E	A							$\perp$		
		Ethics [or Knowledge and																														
20	PHI00007C	Perception]												S								E						A		$\square$		
		Beginning Philosophy																														
		(online Philosophy skills																														
	PHI00012C	module)		S																		EA										
Stage 2																			_												_	
Credits		Module				Au	tum	n Te	rm		1				1	Sp	pring	g Term	<u>ן</u>							Sun	nmer	Term	1			
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
30	SOC000031	Social Research Methods		S								A											A		E				А			
		Option Module (LIST A, B &																														
30	Various	C)*																														
		Option Module (LIST A, B &																														
	Various	C)*																												⊢		
20		Philosophy Key Ideas																														
	Various	Module (LIST D) \$																												$\vdash$		
10	Various	Philosophy Option Module																														
		(OR Philosophy Short																														
		Module) – (LIST E) \$																												$\bot$		

		modules in the sume term																		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Stage 3 Credits		Module			۸.	itum	n Tei	m				6.	ring	Term				Sum	nmer	r Term			
Stage 3			1																				
		years. \$ Students must take 1 keys ideas and 1 Short or Option Philosophy module in stage 2; students must NOT take all of these 30 credits of modules in the same term																					
		*Students take two 30 credit option modules offered by Politics, Sociology or Social Policy. Students must take AT LEAST one module from Sociology, Social Policy and Politics over the final two																					
10	Various	Philosophy Short Module (OR Philosophy Option Module) - (LIST E) \$ (Note: Short modules are based on the teaching for Key Ideas modules, but with formal contact ending after 5 weeks)																					

Stage 4	One third year philosophy module (20 credits) must be taken in Stage 3 AND three option modules from lists G,H & I (students take two option modules per term and are NOT allowed to take more than one Philosophy module in Stage 3). Students must take AT LEAST one option module from Sociology, Social Policy and Politics over the final two years.						
Option List A - POL 2 (Example list only: Modules available will vary	Quires students to select option mo Option List B - SOC 2 (Example list only: Modules available will	Option List C - SP 2 (Example list only: Modules available will vary from year to	Option List D - PHIL 2 Key Ideas (Example list only: Modules available will vary	Option List E - PHIL 2 Short Module/ Option Module (Example list only: Modules available will vary from	Option List F - PHIL 3 (Example list only: Modules available will	Option List G - SP 3 (Example list only: Modules available will	Option List H - POL 3 (Example list only: Modules available will
from year to year) Contemporary Political Philosophy POL000041	vary from year to year) Gender, Sexuality & Inequalities SOC00001I	year) Debates in Criminal Justice SPY00025I	from year to year) Hume - PHI00081I (History of Philosophy)	year to year) Philosophy of Language* PHI00086I (Short Module)	Philosophy of Physics PHI00013H (Aut)	Youth Justice SPY00021H (Aut)	Governing the Global Economy POL00009H (Aut)
History of Political Thought POL000051	Popular Culture, Media & Society SOC00004I	Understanding Childhood & Youth SPY00023I	Philosophy of Language - PHI00073I* (Theoretical Philosophy)	Ethical Theory PHI00083I (Short Module)	Philosophy of Art from Hume to Tolstoy PHI00091H (Aut)	Housing Policy SPY00001H (Aut)	British Foreign Policy after the Cold War POL00011H (Aut)
State, Economy & Society POL00006I	Contemporary Political Sociology SOC000051	Citizenship, Difference and Inequality SPY00018I	Spinoza & Leibniz - PHI00077I (History of Philosophy)	Religious Ethics PHI000941 (Short Module)	Philosophy of Psychology PHI00102H (Aut)	Vulnerability, Deviance & Social Control SPY00039H (Aut)	Territory and Conflict in the former Soviet Union POL00023H (Aut)

War & Peace POL00007I	Social Interaction & Conversation Analysis SOC00006I	The Policy Process SPY000021	History of Ethics - PHI00076I (Value)	Intermediate Logic* PHI000951 (Short Module)	Philosophy of Law PHI00103H (Aut)	Poverty & Inequality SPY00036H (Aut)	Green Politics POL00010H (Aut)
Politics in the United Kingdom POL00008I	Sociology of Health & Illness SOC00007I	Comparative Social Policy SPY000011	Ethical Theory - PHI00082I (Value)	Metaphysics* PHI00092I (Short Module)	Consciousness PHI00018H (Spr)	Welfare State & the Economic Crisis SPY00035H (Aut)	Political Participation and Democracy POL00041H (Aut)
US National Security after the Cold War POL00035I	Science in Society SOC00016I	Victimisation and Social Harm SPY00016I	Religious Ethics - PHI000721 (Value)	Philosophy of Mind PHI00093I (Short Module)	Language & Mind# PHI00046H (Aut)	Love, Intimacy and Family Life SPY00019H (Aut)	Global Politics of Nuclear Weapons POL00043H (Aut)
Comparative Politics POL00037I	Division & Inequalities: Race & Ethnicity, Class & Religion SOC00020I		Intermediate Logic* PHI00096I (Theoretical Philosophy)	Aesthetics PHI000671 (Short Module)	Metaphysics of Mind PHI00093H (Aut)	Death & Policy SPY00038H (Spr)	Governmentality: Michael Foucault & the Analytics of Power POL00026H (Aut)
Politics of Development POL00009I			Metaphysics* PHI000741 (Theoretical Philosophy)	Philosophy of Science# PHI000851 (Short Module)	Foundations of Mathematics# PHI00017H (Spr)	Gender, Citizenship & the Welfare State SPY00032H (Spr)	Regionalism in World Politics POL00051H (Aut)
Global Security Challenges POL00049I			Philosophy of Mind PHI00078I (Theoretical Philosophy)	Applied Ethics PHI00084I (Short Module)	From Marx to Critical Theory PHI00105H (Aut)	Illicit Drug Use SPY00012H (Spr)	Knowledge & Ignorance in Democratic Politics POL00054H (Aut)
Option List I - SOC 3 (Example list only: Modules available will vary from year to year)			Aesthetics PHI000911 (Value)	Reading Plato PHI00019I (Reading Module)	Philosophy of Film PHI00082H (Spr)	Prisons and Penal Policy SPY00046H (Spr)	Contemporary Politics of South Asia POL00055H (Aut)
Paranormal in Society SOC00009H (Aut)			Aristotle PHI00066I (History of Philosophy)	Effective Altruism PHI00056I (Reading module)	German Idealism PHI00073H (Spr)	Wellbeing of Children & Young People SPY00020H (Spr)	The Politics and Security in Africa POL00050H (Spr)
Body & Society SOC00003H (Aut)			Kant PHI00097I (History of Philosophy)	Imagination PHI000421 (Lect/Sem module)	Philosophy & Cognitive Diversity PHI00048H (Spr)	Crime & Punishment LAW00045H (Spr)	Statebuilding & Political Transition in South East Asia POL00008H (Spr)

	 			· · · · ·	
Advanced Social Theory SOC00032H (Aut)	Nietzsche PHI00075I (History of Philosophy)	Philosophy of Social Science PHI00105I (Lect/Sem module)	Wittgenstein and Philosophy# PHI00097H (Spr)	Criminal Justice & Policing SPY00011H (Spr)	The Labour Party & Socialism POL00021H (Spr)
Analysing Doctor- Patient Interactions SOC00002H (Aut)	Philosophy of Science# PHI00080I (Theoretical Philosophy)	Philosophy of Time PHI00008I (Lect/Sem Module)	Value and the Meaning of Life PHI00075H (Spr)	Sustainable Development & Social Inclusion SPY00017H (Spr)	Ethnicity & Conflict POL00024H (Spr)
Crime, Gender & Sexuality SOC00034H (Aut)	Applied Ethics PHI00079I (Value)	Reading Sartre PHI001011 (Reading Module)	Contemporary Issues in Bioethics PHI00002H (Aut)		Political Transition in the Middle East: A Century of Conflict POL00022H (Spr)
The Global Transformation of Health SOC00041H (Aut)	*Reason & Argument is a prerequisite for these Key Ideas and Short/Option modules	Causation & Laws PHI00013I (Lect/Sem Module)			The Global Food System POL00057H (Spr)
Migration & Tourism SOC00036H (Spr)	# Reason & Argument is not a prerequisite for these modules but it is helpful	Hegel PH1000711 (Reading module)			Global Sustainability and Energy Policy POL000059H (Spr)
Morbidity, Culture & Corpses SOC00040H (Spr)		Lies, Bullshit, Perversions & Propaganda PHI00102I (Reading module)			
Imagining Sociological Alternatives SOC00046H (Spr)		Epistemic Normativity PHI00048I (Reading module)			
Humans & Other Animals SOC00007H (Spr)		Rousseau PHI00063I (Reading module)			

Art, Tastes & Stratification SOC00013H (Spr)		Structure of the Good PHI00100I (Reading module)		
Emotions in the Social World SOC00042H (Spr)				

Management and Adr	nissions In	formation						
This document applies to	students wh	o commenced	d the programme(s) in:		2017/18			
	ma of Highe	r Education (Le	on undergraduate programmes (sul evel 5/Intermediate), Ordinary Deg		-			-
		5 1101111.						
Admissions Criteria								
TYPICAL OFFERS A levels: AAB IB Diploma Programme: 35 BTEC Extended Diploma: DD								
Length and status of the p				T				
Programme	Length (years)	Status (full- time/part-	Start dates/months (if applicable – for programmes			Mode		
	(years)	time/part- time) Please select	that have multiple intakes or start dates that differ from the usual academic year)	Face-to-face, campu	s-based	Distance learni	ng	Other
		Full-time		Please select Y/N	Yes	Please select Y/N	No	
Language(s) of study								
English.								
Language(s) of assessmen	nt							
English.								
			tatutory or Regulatory Bodies	(PSRB)	-	_	_	
Is the programme recogning Please Select Y/N: No University award regulation of the second se	if N if Y	o move to nex						
onversity award legu								

The University's award and assessment regulations apply to all programmes: any exceptions that relate to this programme are approved by University Teaching Committee
and are recorded at the end of this document.
Are students on the programme permitted to take elective modules?
(See: https://www.york.ac.uk/media/staffhome/learningandteaching/documents/policies/Framework%20for%20Programme%20Design%20-%20UG.pdf)
Please Select Y/N: Yes
Careers & Placements - 'With Placement Year' programmes
Students on all undergraduate and integrated masters programmes may apply to spend their third year on a work-based placement facilitated by Careers & Placements.
Such students would return to their studies at Stage 3 in the following year, thus lengthening their programme by a year. Successful completion of the placement year and
associated assessment allows this to be recognised in programme title, which is amended to include 'with Placement Year' (e.g. BA in XYZ with Placement Year'). The
Placement Year also adds a Programme Learning Outcome, concerning employability. (See Careers & Placements for details).
In exceptional circumstances, UTC may approve an exemption from the 'Placement Year' initiative. This is usually granted only for compelling reasons concerning
accreditation; if the Department already has a Year in Industry with criteria sufficiently generic so as to allow the same range of placements; or if the programme is less
than three years in length.
Programme
excluded from No
Placement Year? If yes, what are the reasons for this exemption:
Study Abroad (including Year Abroad as an additional year and replacement year)
Students on all programmes may apply to spend Stage 2 on the University-wide North America/ Asia/ Australia student exchange programme. Acceptance onto the
programme is on a competitive basis. Marks from modules taken on replacement years count toward progression and classification.
Does the programme include the opportunity to undertake other formally agreed study abroad activities? All such programmes must comply with the Policy on Study
Abroad
https://www.york.ac.uk/staff/teaching/procedure/programmes/design/
Please Select Y/N: Yes
Additional information
Transfers out of or into the programme
ii) Transfers into the programme will be
possible? (please select Y/N)
ii) Transfers out of the programme will be
possible? (please select Y/N)
Exceptions to University Award Regulations approved by University Teaching Committee
Exception Date approved
Please detail any exceptions to University Award Regulations approved by UTC

Date on which this programme information was updated:	
	29/09/18
Please note:	
The information above provides a concise summary of the main features of the programme expected to achieve and demonstrate if they take full advantage of the learning opportunit. Detailed information on the learning outcomes, content, delivery and assessment of modul	ies that are provided.
The University reserves the right to modify this overview in unforeseen circumstances, or w students, external examiners or professional bodies, requires a change to be made. Student	here the process of academic development, based on feedback from staff,
Programme Map	
Please note: the programme map below is in interim format pending the development of a University	Programme Catalogue.

Course Structu	re BA (Hons)						
		th Philosophy (S	SPS)				
UCAS Code LL2	V (SPS with PHI	L)					
	•	-					
BA (F	Hons) Social and	Political Scienc	es with Philoso	ohy			
Autumn Term		Spring Term		Summer term			
		Stage 1					
	What is Politics	? POL00008C (30	) Credits, Core)				
Introduct	ion to Sociologic	cal Theory SOC0	0002C (30 Credit	s, Core)			
Intro	oducing Social P	olicy SPY00002C	(30 Credits, Co	re)			
	<u>Autumn Term</u>		<u>Spring</u>	<u>Term</u>			
Early Moderr	n PhilosophyPHI	<u>00002C (10</u>	Knowledge 8	-			
	<u>Credits)</u>		<u>PHI00008C (20 Credits)</u>				
	<u>OR</u>		OR				
	ason & Argumer		Introduction to Ethics*				
	00006C (10 cred		<u>PHI00007C</u>				
On	line Philosophy S	Skills tutorial VL	E based (0 credit	s)			
		Stage 2					
		hods SOC00003	•				
One Key Ideas P	hilosophy modu	ile (20 credits) a	nd one Short/O	otion module			
		(10 credits)**					
	Option from S	OC, POL or SPSV	V (30 Credits)				
	Option from S	OC, POL or SPSV	V (30 Credits)				
		Stage 3					
	One Philosophy option Option module from						
modu	-	SOC, SPSW,	•				
(20 Cr	edits)	Crea	dits)				

-		
Option module from	Option module	from
SOC, SPSW, POL** (20	SOC, SPSW, POL <sup>3</sup>	** (20
Credits)	Credits)	
Dissertation SPS00002H (40 C	redits, Core)	
SPS Forum (Non Credit, Core)		
Notes		
*Reason and Argument is a pr	e-requiste for some 2	nd year Philosophy
modules and this information	will be made availab	e to students before
they make their module select	tion for Stage 2.	
**Students must take two Phil	osophy modules in St	age 2, which are taugh
in different terms (cannot take	all 30 credits in one t	erm). See * for
		•
prerequisites.		
• •	module (20 credits) r	nust to be taken in
***One third year philosophy Stage 3 (students are not allow		
***One third year philosophy	wed to take more tha	n on Philosophy
***One third year philosophy Stage 3 (students are not allow module in Stage 3) and studer	wed to take more tha nts are required to tal	n on Philosophy e at least one module
***One third year philosophy Stage 3 (students are not allow	wed to take more tha nts are required to tal	n on Philosophy e at least one module
***One third year philosophy Stage 3 (students are not allow module in Stage 3) and studer from Sociology, Social Policy a	wed to take more tha nts are required to tal and Politics over the fi	n on Philosophy e at least one module nal two years.
***One third year philosophy Stage 3 (students are not allow module in Stage 3) and studer from Sociology, Social Policy a SPS with Philosophy students w	wed to take more tha nts are required to tal and Politics over the fi who fail the online phi	n on Philosophy se at least one module nal two years. losophy skills tutorial
***One third year philosophy Stage 3 (students are not allow module in Stage 3) and studer from Sociology, Social Policy a	wed to take more than Its are required to take and Politics over the find who fail the online phi in SPS to pursue Stage	n on Philosophy a at least one module nal two years. losophy skills tutorial 2/3 studies (they will

#### Programme Map: Module Contribution to Programme Learning Outcomes

This table maps the contribution to programme learning outcomes made by each module, in terms of the advance in understanding/ expertise acquired or reinforced in the module, the work by which students achieve this advance and the assessments that test it. This enables the programme rationale to be understood:

• Reading the table vertically illustrates how the programme has been designed to deepen knowledge, concepts and skills progressively. It shows how the progressive achievement of PLOs is supported by formative work and evaluated by summative assessment. In turn this should help students to understand and articulate their development of transferable skills and to relate this to other resources, such as the Employability Tutorial and York Award;

• Reading the table horizontally explains how the experience of a student at a particular time includes a balance of activities appropriate to that stage, through the design of modules.

Stage	Module			F	Programme Lear	ning Outcomes			
		PLO1	PLO2	PLO3	PLO4	PLO5	PLO6	PLO7	PLO8

			to our collective understandin g of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world by	the social sciences to contemporar y social and political and philosophical problems and draw on	issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and the social and political sciences (including some at the forefront of contemporar y work) and	Lay out what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a sustained line of argument based on the consideration s raised	Operate as resourceful, self-directed and reflective professionals and researchers, reflecting critically on personal strengths and limitations, and continuing to learn and develop skills.	Synthesise and communicate complex, sensitive information and arguments to diverse audiences, in appropriate formats, via a range of media and digital technologies.	Work creatively with teams, multi-agency groups, institutions and the public in ways that are considered, and respectful of the views, values and cultural position of others.
Stage 1	Induction Week	Progress towards PLO					Gaining an understandin g of the VLE and library services		

	CORE	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)				Introductory lectures delivered by the Library and E- Learning Team		
Stage 1	What is Politics?	Progress towards PLO	Gaining an understandin g of the major traditions in studying politics and different approaches to understandin g power and the state.	Applying different approaches to understandin g power and the state to issues such as globalisation, the EU and migration	Learning a range of skills pertaining to literature search and writing; learning foundational issues in political research; researching case studies as the basis for the presentation.	Engaging in group collaboration in seminars, in the development of a group presentation and in the online blog	Learning communicatio n skills and presentation design	Collaborating in seminar tasks and outside the classroom

CORE	By working on	Lectures;	Lectures;		Skills-sessions	Skills-sessions	Skills-sessions	Seminar	]
	(and if	seminar	seminar		(in the lecture	(in the lecture	(in the lecture	exercises;	
	applicable,	preparation;	preparation;		programme);	programme);	programme);	collaboration	
	assessed	seminar	seminar		seminar	seminar	Seminar	in writing	
	through)	exercises;	exercises;		exercises;	exercises;	exercises;	blog. Group	
		independent	independent		independent	independent	writing blog.	presentation	
		study.	study.		study;	study;	Sessions	(formative);	
		Literature	Literature		Sessions	Sessions	delivered by	Group	
		review	review		delivered by	delivered by	the careers	presentation	
		(formative);	(formative);		the library on	the library on	department	(summative,	
		Essay	Essay		searching for	searching for	on designing	including peer	
		(summative);	(summative);		materials and	materials and	presentations	evaluation)	
		Group	Group		researching	researching	and delivering		
		presentation	presentation		your first	your first	presentations.		
		(formative);	(formative);		essays,	essays,	These form		
		Group	Group		referencing	referencing	the basis for		
		presentation	presentation		and	and	seminar		
		(summative,	(summative,		evaluating	evaluating	activities.		
		including peer	including peer		sources	sources	Group		
		evaluation);	evaluation);		(autumn	(autumn	presentation		
		Online blog	Online blog		term) and	term) and	(formative);		
					designing	designing	Group		
					effective	effective	presentation		
					presentations,	presentations,	(summative,		
					online	online	including		
					collaboration	collaboration	peer-		
					tools, and	tools, and	evaluation);		
					identity and	identity and	Online blog		
					wellbeing	wellbeing			
					(spring term).	(spring term).			
					These mini	These mini			
					lectures	lectures			
					provide the	provide the			
					basis for	basis for			
					seminar	seminar			
					activities to	activities to			
					apply the	apply the			
					material the	material the			
					students have	students have			
					explored in	explored in			
					lectures.	lectures.			
					Litoroturo	Crown			

21

Social Theory CORE	Progress towards PLO						
	By working on	Students are	Students are	In their first	A proportion	The module is	Seminars are
	(and if	introduced to	taught how to	assessment,	of the course	taught	collaborative
	applicable,	the theories	critically	students must	and its	through	endeavours
	assessed	of core	engage with	critically	assessment is	participative	structured
	through)	sociological	the original	reflect upon	dedicated to	learning in	through
		thinkers from	writings of	an original	researching	seminars, in	cooperation
		the classical	sociological	piece of	the	which	around a
		and	theorists.	writing from	continuing	students are	range of
		contemporary		classical social	relevance, or	encouraged	learning and
		intellectual		theory. This is	otherwise, of	to present	research
		traditions.		intended to	sociological	and articulate	tasks.
				challenge	theories and	their ideas.	
				students on	concepts. This		
				the course to	includes		
				read theory	investigating		
				through	the		
				original works	relationship		
				rather than	between		
				textbook	social theory,		
				summaries.	contemporary		
					illustrative		
					examples and		
					recent		
					sociological		
					scholarship.		

Stage 1	Introducing	Progress	Students are	Students are		Students are	Students are	Key concepts,	Students are
	Social Policy	towards PLO	introduced to	introduced to		introduced to	introduced to	ideas and	introduced to
	CORE		the operation	the		a range of	key debates in	social aims	the operation
			of key social,	frameworks		theoretical	social policy	are	of key social,
			political and	for the		and empirical	and the	considered	political and
			economic	organisation		sources and	platforms	from a range	economic
			actors in	and delivery		to key social	from which	of political	actors in
			social policy	of benefits		questions	these are	and	social policy
			development	and services		which form	undertaken.	ideological	development
			and the ways	and the ways		the basis of		perspectives.	and the ways
			in which their	in which these		social science			in which their
			interests	resources are		enquiry.			interests are
			influence the	distributed		Students are			privileged in
			development	providing a		also inducted			particular
			of policy in	basic		into the ethics			contexts
			key social	understandin		of academic			leading to
			domains of	g of the		activity			inequalities.
			provision.	extent of		including			Students are
				social need in		learning,			also
				societies and		research and			introduced to
				the ways in		writing.			the
				which this is					dimensions of
				met. In					inequality
				parallel with					within and
				these					across social
				frameworks					groups in
				of knowledge,					relation to
				students are					their
				also					experience of
				introduced to					social policy.
				a range of					
				frameworks					
				of analysis					
				drawn from					
				social science					
				which can be					
				applied to the					
				evaluation of					
				distribution					
				and the					
				identification					
				of come and					1

	Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks		Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks	Seminar tas
(and if	including	including		introduce	including	including	including
applicable,	debates and	debates and		students to	debates and	debates and	debates and
assessed	role-play	role-play		sources of	role-play	role-play	role-play
through)	exercises are	exercises are		data and	exercises are	exercises	exercises ar
	designed to	designed to		policy-related	designed to	include	designed to
	introduce	introduce		materials	explore social	collaborative	explore soc
	students to	students to		which they	policy issues	work both in	policy issue
	the operation	the range of		are expected	at all levels of	preparation	from the
	of key interest	approaches		to explore	debate from	activities and	perspective
	groups in the	taken in		and evaluate	local to global	during in-class	a range acto
	formation of	policy		in their	requiring	activites	and subject
	policy and to	development		preparation	students to	where	including
	recognise the	linking these		work.	familiarise	content is	policy-
	constraints in	to the ideas		Seminars	themselves	focused on	makers,
	which policy	and social		enable	with positions	problem-	service user
	is developed.	theories by		immediate	in preparation	solving and	activists and
	Seminars	which they		formative	and to	developing	stake-holde
	enable	are		feedback	communicate	solutions.	Seminars
	immediate	underpinned.		delivered by	these	Seminars	enable
	formative	Seminars		seminar	positions in-	enable	immediate
	feedback	enable		leaders. In	class.	immediate	formative
	delivered by	immediate		their Essay	Seminars	formative	feedback
	seminar	formative		and Exam	enable	feedback	delivered by
	leaders. In	feedback		assessment	immediate	delivered by	seminar
	their essay	delivered by		students are	formative	seminar	leaders and
	and exam	seminar		expected to	feedback	leaders and	peers.
	assessment	leaders. In		refer back to	delivered by	peers.	
	students are	their essay		these data	seminar		
	expected to	and exam		sources in	leaders and		
	demonstrate	assessment		order to	peers. In their		
	their	students are		produce	essay and		
	Knowledge	expected to		reasoned	exam		
	and	be able to		written	assessment		
	understandin	draw on key		answers.	students are		
	g of economic	ideas and		Students are	able to		
	and political	theories to		also expected	demonstrate		
	interests and	frame a		to	their written		
	their	written		demonstrate	communicatio		
	influence on	argument.		that they are	n skills.		
	policy			equipped			
	development						

24

Early Modern	Progress	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	(i) Students
Philosophy B	towards PLO	(i) develop	develop their	develop their	develop their	will develop
PHI00002C		their ability to	abilities to	ability to	ability to	their ability to
10 Credits		understand	identify,	identify some	express	engage in
(Either/or		and explain	describe, and	key strengths	themselves (i)	fruitful
with Reason		some key	evaluate key	and	orally and (ii)	philosophical
and Argument		debates about	arguments in	weaknesses in	in writing	discussion—
В)		the nature of	the work of	their		making clear,
		the world and	others	capacities and		concise, and
Summative		our		seek help for		relevant
Assessment:		experience		improvement		contributions,
Exam in		and		on that basis		and listening
Spring		knowledge of				carefully and
Common		it and (ii)				respectfully to
Assessment		develop their				others
Period		ability to				
		identify and				(ii) Students
		characterize				will develop
		solutions to				their
		philosophical				sensitivity to
		problems and				cultural and
		puzzles				historical
						context in
						understandin
						g the work
						and ideas of
						others

By working on	By (i)	By producing	By reflecting	By (i)	(i) By
(and if	engaging in	responses to	on seminar	presenting	engaging in
applicable,	close reading	seminar	discussions	their	extended
assessed	of some	guidance in	and other	responses to	seminar
through)	carefully	relation to set	feedback on	the texts in	discussions
	selected texts	readings and	their work	extended	
	from the Early	receiving		seminar	(ii) By
	Modern	feedback on		discussions,	engaging with
	period	these in		and (ii) by	the work of
	(including	seminar		preparing for	philosophers
	pieces by	discussions		seminars and	from a
	figures like	with fellow		producing	different
	Descartes and	students and		written	period with
	Malebranche)	the seminar		assessments	different
	which debate	tutor			scientific and
	key questions,				religious
	and				views (making
	participating				appropriate
	in extended				use of
	seminar				secondary
	discussions of				and
	these texts,				contextualizin
	and (ii)				g material)
	examining the				
	different				
	responses to				
	philosophical				
	questions				
	presented by				
	opposed				
	seminar				
	readings and				
	responding to				
	seminar				
	preparation				
	guidance				

Reason and	Progress	Students will	 Students will	Students will	Students will	(i) Students	Students will
Argument B	towards PLO	acquire the	develop a	develop their	develop their	will develop	develop their
PHI00006C		basic logical	critical	abilities to	ability to	their	abilities to
10 Credits		literacy	awareness of	produce and	correct their	understandin	collaborate in
(Either/or		(abilities with	the workings	evaluate	understandin	g of how	seeking
with Early		concepts,	of language—	candidate	gs and modify	interpretation	solutions to
Modern		terminology,	e.g. in relation	solutions to	their practice	of utterances	problems
Philosophy		and notation	to (a) forms of	problems	in response to	and texts	
PHI00002C)		of logic)	ambiguity and		constructive	depends on	
		required for	(b) the		criticism	context and	
Summative		understandin	distinction			on differences	
Assessment:		g	between what			between	
'Workbook'		philosophical	a speaker			authors and	
Exam in		texts and	succeeds in			speakers	
Spring		discussions in	conveying by				
Common		the remainder	using words			(ii) Students	
Assessment		of the	on a			will develop	
Period		programme	particular			their ability to	
			occasion, and			express	
			what those			themselves	
			words mean			orally	
	By working on	By working to	By tackling	By tackling	By noting the	By (i)	By discussing
	(and if	express claims	puzzles and	puzzles	responses of	providing,	and
	applicable,	and	problems	concerning	their tutors	considering,	evaluating
	assessed	arguments	concerning	the meaning	and	and	answers to
	through)	expressed in	the meaning	of and logical	colleagues in	evaluating	set questions
		natural	of and logical	relations	seminar work	interpretation	in seminars
		language in	relations	between		s of the	
		logical terms	between	claims,		meanings of	
			claims			sentences and	
						(ii) by	
						contributing	
						to seminar	
						discussions	

Stage 1	Knowledge	Progress	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
Stage 1	and	towards PLO	come to	develop their	be able to	develop their	develop the
	Perception		understand	ability to	identify	skills in	ability to
	PHI00008C 20		and be able to		•	writing for	collaborate in
				weigh	strengths and		
	Credits		explain some	arguments	weaknesses	philosophy	seeking
	(Either/or			and lay out a	and seek help	'from word to	solutions to
	with Ethics)		issues, and	case for a	with	paragraph',	problems
			debates in	particular	improving	expressing	
	Summative		Epistemology	verdict	performance	ideas clearly	
	Assessment:		and		on that basis	and	
	Exam in		Philosophy of			accurately in	
	Summer		Perception			simple and	
	Common					accessible	
	Assessment					terms, and	
	Period					producing	
						well-	
						structured	
						paragraphs	
		By working on	By answering	By working to	By noting (a)	By preparing	By discussing
		(and if	study	produce an	the responses	paragraph-	and
		applicable,	questions on	essay on a	of their tutors	length written	evaluating
		assessed	set readings	fundamental	and	answers to	answers to
		through)	(with support	issue in	colleagues in	questions on	study
		0,	from	Epistemology/	activities in	module	questions in
			lectures),	Philosophy of	seminars and	materials on a	seminars
			engaging in	Perception	lectures, and	weekly basis	
			seminar		(b) written	and discussing	
			discussions,		feedback on	these in pairs	
			and writing		formative	in seminars,	
			formative and		work	applying key	
			summative			points of	
			essays			guidance on	
						excellent	
						writing for	
						-	
						philosophy	

Stage 1	Ethics	Progress		Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
0	PHI00007C 20	towards PLO			come to be	develop and	be able to	develop their	be able to
	Credits			ability to ask	able to	refine their	identify	skills in	understand
	(Either/or			and answer	engage with	understandin	strengths and	writing for	the ways in
	with			questions for	(debate and	g of the	weaknesses	philosophy	which certain
	Knowledge			themselves	deliberate	possible views	and seek help	'from word to	moral issues
	and			about what is	about) at	and of the	with	paragraph',	are treated in
	Perception)		,	valuable and	least some of	arguments	improving	expressing	different
			,	what ought to	the most	which might	performance	ideas clearly	cultural
	Summative			be done, and	important	be used to	on that basis	and	contexts, and
	Assessment:			about how we	ideas in a	support those		accurately in	they will
	Essay (2,000			ought to think	range of	views, and		simple and	develop their
	words) due		i	about those	fundamental	they will		accessible	ability to
	Summer			questions and	debates in	develop their		terms, and	explain this
	Week 1				Ethics and the	ability to		producing	and respond
				•	structure and	determine		well-	appropriately
				made in	content of	which view –		structured	in discussion
				•	some key	if any – has		paragraphs	and writing
				them	arguments	the most to			
					employed to	recommend			
					defend	it, taking into			
					various views	account not			
					in those	only the			
					debates; and	cogency of			
					students will	the particular			
					also develop	arguments in			
					and	question but			
					demonstrate	also any other			
					their ability to	factors which			
					explain these	might			
					things to	recommend			
					others in	adoption of			
					discussion	one			
						conclusion			
						over another			

						-
By working on		By thinking	By thinking	By noting (a)	By preparing	Ву
(and if	-	about and	about (in	the responses	paragraph-	understandin
applicable,	ideas about a	discussing	individual	of their tutors	length written	g the
assessed	range of	both	study) and	and	answers to	particular
through)	moral issues,	'theoretical'	discussing (in	colleagues in	questions on	moral and
	paying	and 'applied'	seminars)	activities in	module	theological
	particular	issues in	various views	seminars and	materials on a	presuppositio
	attention to	moral	in each	lectures, and	weekly basis	ns behind
	the	philosophy,	debate	(b) written	and discussing	some of the
	arguments	stimulated by	studied, and	feedback on	these in pairs	views
	and	ideas from	by planning	formative	in seminars,	discussed (e.
	consideration	carefully	and writing an	work	applying key	g. in the
	s which count	selected	essay on		points of	discussion of
	in favour of	historical	selected		guidance on	divine
	and against	texts and	issues which		excellent	command
	particular	recent work	reaches its		writing for	theory, and
	views	in the field	conclusion on		philosophy	the discussion
			the basis of			of abortion),
			argument and			and
			the			manifesting
			presentation			this
			of reasons			understandin
						g in their
						discussions
						and writing

Stage 1	Poginning	Drogross		Students will		Students will	Students will	
Stage 1	Beginning	Progress						
	Philosophy	towards PLO		develop their			develop their	
	PHI00001C			ability to			knowledge	
	0 Credits			argue and		prioritise, self-		
				assess			understandin	
	Note: Not for			arguments			g of how to	
	credit, but					working time	prepare and	
	working						present	
	through the						written	
	online						material for	
	material gives						assessment	
	important						and what	
	support in the						makes for	
	development						good writing	
	of academic						in philosophy	
	skills,							
	including							
	essay writing							
	at university							
	level.							
	Students must							
	complete quiz							
	sections in							
	the online							
	module in							
	order to							
	progress							
	through the							
	sections.							
			1		l			

By working on	By completing	By working	By completing
(and if	the Critical	independentl	the Writing
applicable,	Thinking	y on the	Skills element
assessed	element of	online	of the online
through)	the online	elements of	materials
	module	the module,	
	material	fitting in their	
		work around	
		other	
		commitments	
		to complete it	
		not only by	
		the deadline	
		but also to	
		ensure that	
		relevant	
		elements are	
		completed at	
		appropriate	
		times (e.g.	
		completing	
		Writing Skills	
		before	
		submitting	
		their first	
		essays)	

Stage 2	Note that other than SRM, Stage 2 modules offered by				
	each department are options. 30 credits must be				
	taken in Philosophy in Stage				
	2 but students may opt not				
	to take a module from one of				
	the other contributing				
	departments if they intend				
	to take at least one 20 credit				
	module from that				
	department in Stage 3.				

Stage 2	Social	Progress	Students are		Stude	ents	Seminars
	Research	towards PLO	taught how to		emplo	оу	require
	Methods		evaluate and		quant	titative	teamwork
	(CORE)		critically		and		and
			assess the		qualit	ative	collaborative
			methodology		resear	rch	work
			employed in		metho	ods, and	
			existing		learn	how	
			empirical		differe	ent	
			research		metho	odologie	
					s are		
					appro	opriate	
					for ad	dressing	
					differe	ent	
					types	of	
					resear		
					quest	ions.	
					They a	are	
						it how to	
					desigr	n whole	
					resear		
					projec	cts,	
					consid	dering	
					data		
					collec	ction,	
					data a	analyis,	
					and et		
					This se	erves to	
					develo	op skills	
					introd	duced in	
					Year 1	1 (e.g.	
					What		
					Politic	cs?) and	
					builds		
					strong		
						rch skills	
						for the	
						rtation	
					modu		
						rtaken in	
					Stage		

CORE		Summative	Practical skills	Students worl
	•	assessment in	are developed	together to
		the form of a	through the	design the
		methodologic	student	student
	- ·	al critical	survey (a	survey, and
		review	sample survey	present the
		requires	designed in	results of
		students to	seminars and	archival
		evaluate and	analysed in	research they
		critically	computer lab	have
		analyse	sessions by	conducted.
		evidence-	students) and	This is not
		based claims	assessed	assessed.
		in social	through	
		research	summative	
			assessment in	
			the form of a	
			written	
			research	
			report.	
			Practical	
			qualitative	
			skills in data	
			collection and	
			analysis are	
			learnt in	
			seminar	
			settings.	
			Students	
			develop	
			interview	
			schedules,	
			run practice	
			focus groups,	
			conduct their	
			own	
			observation	
			studies,	
			conduct	
			thematic	
			analysis of	
			intomiour	

35

Stage 2	Human Rights	Progress	Identifying	Applying		Conducting	Engaging in	Learning	Collaborating
	& Human	towards PLO	and	theoretical		research on	group	communicatio	in seminar
	Wrongs (POL)		understandin	understandin		both theory	collaboration	n skills and	tasks and
			g the main	gs of human		(political and	in seminars	presenting	outside the
			legal and	rights to case		legal) and	via group	arguments in	classroom
			political	studies,		case studies	presentations,	a clear and	
			frameworks	interrogating		from both the	group	structured	
			behind	the contested		secondary	exercises and	way via both	
			human rights,	notions of		literature and	small group	written and	
			and placing	various rights		case study	discussion.	spoken	
			them in a	in different		material to		materials	
			global,	political		explore the			
			national and	contexts,		seminar			
			local context.	developing a		questions and			
				critical		essay			
				understandin		questions.			
				g of why					
				certain rights					
				are more					
				contested,					
				and being					
				able to					
				analyse rights					
				from a					
				normative,					
				analytical and					
				empirical					
				perspective.					
		By working on	Lectures;		Lectures;	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar
		(and if	seminar		seminar	exercises;	exercises	exercises	exercises
		applicable,	preparation;		exercises;	independent			
		assessed	seminar		independent	study			
		through)	exercises;		study				
			independent						
			study						

						1		1	1
Stage 2		•	Essay		Essay				
		• •	(formative);		(formative);				
		Essay	Essay		Essay				
		(summative);	(summative);		(summative);				
		Mock	Mock		Mock				
		examination;	examination;		examination;				
		Examination	Examination		Examination				
		(2 hour)	(2 hour)		(2 hour)				
Poli	licy Process	Progress	Students will	Students will		Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
(SPS	PSW)	towards PLO	develop	apply policy		gain an	develop an	use role play	explore the
			knowledge of	analysis		understandin	understandin	to explore the	distribution of
			the theory	concepts and		g of the role	g of the policy	complexity of	power in the
			and practice	theories to		of evidence	making	the policy	policy process
			of policy	'real world'		and	process	process and	and how
			analysis	scenarios.		evaluation in	through three	the role of	social
			across a			the policy	distinct tiers	government	problems
			broad range			making	of knowledge	departments	become
			of social			process. The	that explore	in decision	issues on the
			policy areas.			potential for	the macro,	making.	political
			They will			systematic	meso and		agenda.
			explore the			reviews,	micro		
			institutional			quantitative	theorisations		
			and other			and	of policy		
			interests that			qualitative	formation and		
			operate			data to inform	implementati		
			within the			an 'evidence	on. They will		
			policy			based'	appreciate		
			process.			approach to	the		
			•			policy making	differences in		
						will be	levels of		
						explored.	debate		
							depending on		
							theoretical		
							context.		

Stage 2	By working on	Seminars are	Prior to the		Students are	The	The	Throughout
	(and if	designed to	workshop,		expected to	Government	Government	the module
	applicable,	explore policy	seminars are		document	Workshop	Workshop	the
	assessed	challenges	based on		and refer to	provides	requires	differentiated
	through)	from macro,	presentations,		their evidence	•	students to	access to and
		meso and	wherein		sources and	for students	work in teams	impact of the
		micro	students are		their	to work in	to replicate	policy process
		perspectives,	required to		robustness in	teams to	government	are explored.
		which is	use		the verbal and	replicate	departments.	Seminars ask
		central to the	theoretical		written	government	A take-home	questions
		understandin	literature to		assessment	departments	message is	such as 'how
		g of the policy	explore a		tasks. The	and to	that this is not	have young
		process.	contemporary		seminars	present their	always easy	people been
		Policy report	question		require	policy plans in	and in fact	impacted by
		and	pertaining to		students to	a formal	there are	changes in
		government	the policy		present their	setting to the	many barriers	the world of
		workshop	process. The		findings in a	staff and	to working	work?' and
		reports are	Government		clear and	colleagues	collaborativel	students
		based on in	Workshop		understandab	using	y, but that	engage with
		depth	provides		le way,	appropriate	succesful	academic
		application of	opportunities		making use of	presentation	teamwork is a	sources as
		theory to	for students		software such	techniques.	route though	well as
		specific policy	to work in		as	These	the workshop.	material from
		and to	teams to		PowerPoint.	techniques	The workshop	think-tanks
		relevant	replicate		Formative	vary	encourages	and advocacy
		government	government		feedback is	dependent on	students to	groups in
		departments.	departments.		provided on	the audience,	explore	seeking to
		The wider	Assessment		both the	with students	different	answer this.
		context of	tasks require		content of	required to	models of	Throughout
		policy is	students to		presentations	present the	teamwork	the module
		recreated	engage with		and the	same ideas to	that reflect	we explore
		with key	policy		presentation	representativ	the power	the various
		actors from	solutions and		and students	es of	relations that	stakeholders
		beyond	decision		have	governments,		involved in
		Westminster	making.			the academy,	explored	the policy
		represented			to present	business, and	throughout	making
		in the			later in the	wider civil	the module.	process, from
		workshop.			term having	society.	The workshop	'service user',
					reflected on	Students are	report then	through front-
					this. The	required to be		line service
					module as a	mindful of the	critical	deliverers,
					ممامص مامطيير	theoretical	annuaised of	richt um to

Divisions ar	d Progress	Students	Students are		There is no	Students are	Students are	Students are
Inequalities	-	critically	taught to be		research	taught to	expected to	given
(SOC)		examine core	able to		project as	evaluate	critically	questions to
		sociological	identify and		such planned	evidence-	synthesise	prepare
		issues of class,	analyse latent		for this	based claims,	and	material to
		religion and	forms of		module but	when we	communicate	discuss in
		race/ethniciti	inequalities.		they are	examine	complex	seminar
		es. They are	They are		taught some	different	information	groups
		introduced to	taught to		skills in	academic and	and	throughout
		a variety of	challenge		quantitative	non-academic	arguments	the module.
		empirical and	common		and	material. They	about	These
		theoretical	assumptions		qualitative	are, for	emerging	questions
		work and	that we are		analysis as	instance,	social issues	tend to relate
		develop an	living in a		they have to	encouraged	throughout	to sensitive
		understandin	meritocratic		engage with a	to critically	the module.	topics about
		g of the	society and		wide variety	reflect upon		how we
		manifold	that key		of research.	news items or		should live
		forms	institutions,		For instance,	extracts from		together.
		inequalities	such as the		during the	films.		Issues of
		can take. Key	NHS, are not		lecture on			blasphemy,
		authors in	subject to		social			tolerance,
		social theory	forms of		mobility, they			discrimination
		are also	latent racism		are taught			, poverty,
		covered.	or		how to read			inequality are
			discrimination		cross-tabs.			key for this
			, that					module and
			multiculturalis					are discussed
			m is dead,					in a way that
			etc. General					encourages
			issues of					students to be
			whiteness,					open and
			secularism,					respectful.
			religion-based					
			prejudices,					
			hidden forms					
			of inequalities					
			(through					
			people's					
			lifestyles) are					
			thoroughly					
			discussed,					
			which airros					

OPTION	By working on		Formative:		Formative:	Formative:	Formative:
	(and if	Each week,	Discussions		Discussions	Examples of	Group
	applicable,	students are	during		during	exercises	discussions
			seminars and		seminars and	during	and
	through)	and engage	(summative)		(summative)		presentations.
		with them	portfolios in		portfolios in	enable them	Plus the
		during the	which they		which they	to this	students are
		seminars.	identify social		have to	include; group	-
		Different	problems and		actively	presentations	
		types of	select		analyse	or debate	together
		exercises are	appropriate		evidence-	about the	during the
		used	scientific		based claims.		revisions for
		(presentation	readings and			cartoons such	the exam.
		s, debates,	approach to			as Charlie	
		etc.).	evaluate			Hebdo	
		Summative:	them.				
		Students are					
		assessed in					
		the Autumn					
		and in the					
		Spring term					
		during which					
		they have to					
		prepare a					
		portfolio on					
		key issues					
		related to the					
		main themes					
		of this					
		module. An					
		exam in the					
		Summer term					
		is also					
		organised.					

		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)						
Stage 2	Key Ideas Modules, Theoretical Philosophy – Various 20 Credits (PHIL) Summative Assessment: (i) essay (70% weighting); (ii) Short-answer exam in the	Progress towards PLO	Students will develop their abilities to understand and be able to explain a range of connected debates, and apply their understandin g in addressing novel	Students will develop their ability to form and deploy a broad and integrated view of a subject area	Students will develop their ability to make judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of arguments,	Students will (i) develop their ability to amend their working methods and thinking in the light of constructive criticism, and (ii) develop their ability to amend their written work	Students will (i) develop their ability to identify and express key puzzles, views, and arguments in a debate in clear and concise terms, and (ii) improve their ability to	Students will develop their ability to collaborate with others
	Common Assessment Period in the term following the term in which		problems		objections, and responses	in the light of constructive criticism	express complex ideas clearly and precisely orally and in writing	

teaching	By working on	By studying a		By engaging	By preparing	By (i)	By (i)	By engaging in
starts (30%	(and if	representativ		with teaching	an essay	reflecting on	preparing for	seminar
weighting).	applicable,	e range of		across the	addressing a	comments on	and taking the	discussions
	assessed	debates in the		module in	specific	their	short-form	and a variety
NOTE: These	through)	area		preparation	question in	contributions	exam, and (ii)	of seminar
modules fall		addressed by		for the short-	the area	to seminar	engaging in	activities
into three		the module,		answer exam	addressed by	discussions	seminar	
'bands': Value		reading,		element of	the module	made by	discussions	
(Ethics,		dissecting,		assessment		colleagues	and preparing	
Aesthetics,		and discussing				and tutors,	written	
etc.);		key texts				and (ii)	assignments	
Theoretical						reflecting on		
Philosophy;						feedback on		
History of						their		
Philosophy.						formative		
Modules in						work		
Key Ideas	Progress	Students will	[VALUE BAND	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
Modules,	towards PLO	develop their	ONLY]	develop their	develop their	(i) develop	(i) develop	develop their
Value –		abilities to	Students will	ability to form	ability to	their ability to	their ability to	ability to
Various		understand	come to be	and deploy a	make	amend their	identify and	collaborate
20 Credits		and be able to	able to apply	broad and	judgements	working	express key	with others
(PHIL)		explain a	philosophical	integrated	on what to	methods and	puzzles,	
		range of	methods and	view of a	believe with	thinking in the		
Summative		connected	insights to	subject area	regard to a	light of	arguments in	
Assessment:		debates, and	contemporary		difficult	constructive	a debate in	
(i) essay (70%		apply their	problems		problem	criticism, and	clear and	
weighting); (ii)		understandin			based on	(ii) develop	concise terms,	
Short-answer		g in			careful	•	and (ii)	
exam in the		addressing			weighing of	amend their	improve their	
Common		novel			arguments,	written work	ability to	
Assessment		problems			objections,	in the light of	express	
Period in the					and responses	constructive	complex ideas	
term						criticism	clearly and	
following the							precisely	
term in which							orally and in	
teaching							writing	

starts (30% weighting).	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	representativ e range of debates in the area addressed by the module, reading, dissecting, and discussing key texts	[VALUE BAND ONLY] By engaging in an extended consideration of questions of value	By engaging with teaching across the module in preparation for the short- answer exam element of assessment	By preparing an essay addressing a specific question in the area addressed by the module	By (i) reflecting comment their contribut to semina discussion made by colleague and tutor and (ii) reflecting feedback their formative work	s on and taking the short-form ons exam, and (ii) r engaging in s seminar discussions and preparing written assignments on on	and a variety of seminar activities
Key Ideas Modules, History of Philosophy – Various 20 Credits (PHIL) Summative Assessment: (i) essay (70% weighting); (ii) Short-answer exam in the Common Assessment Period in the term following the term in which teaching	Progress towards PLO	Students will develop their abilities to understand and be able to explain a range of connected debates, and apply their understandin g in addressing novel problems		Students will develop their ability to form and deploy a broad and integrated view of a subject area	Students will develop their ability to make judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of arguments, objections, and responses	Students (i) develo their abili amend th working methods thinking i light of construct criticism, (ii) develo their abili amend th written w in the ligh construct criticism	<ul> <li>(i) develop</li> <li>(y to) their ability to</li> <li>identify and</li> <li>express key</li> <li>puzzles,</li> <li>views, and</li> <li>arguments in</li> <li>a debate in</li> <li>clear and</li> <li>clear and</li> <li>concise terms,</li> <li>y to</li> <li>and (ii)</li> <li>improve their</li> <li>ork</li> <li>ability to</li> <li>express</li> </ul>	collaborate with others, and [HISTORY BAND ONLY] (ii) develop informed sensitivity to

starts (30%	By working on	By studying a	By engaging	By preparing	By (i)	By (i)	By (i)
weighting).			with teaching		reflecting on		
weighting).	•	representativ	•	an essay	-	preparing for	engaging in
	•••	e range of	across the	addressing a	comments on	and taking the	1 1
		debates in the	module in	specific	their	short-form	discussions
		area	preparation	question in	contributions	exam, and (ii)	and a variety
		addressed by	for the short-	the area	to seminar	engaging in	of seminar
		the module,	answer exam	addressed by	discussions	seminar	activities, and
		reading,	element of	the module	made by	discussions	[HISTORY
		dissecting,	assessment		colleagues	and preparing	BAND ONLY]
		and discussing			and tutors,	written	(ii) engaging
		key texts			and (ii)	assignments	in an
		,			reflecting on		extended
					feedback on		investigation
					their		into the work
					formative		of a
					work		philosopher
					WORK		or
							-
							philosophers
							from a
							different
							historical
							period and/or
							cultural
							background

Key Ideas	Progress	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
Modules,	towards PLO	develop their	develop their	develop their	(i) develop	improve their	develop their
Short		abilities to	ability to	ability to	their ability to	ability to	ability to
Versions –		understand	identify and	make	amend their	express	collaborate
Various		and be able to	articulate	judgements	working	complex ideas	with others to
10 Credits		explain some	solutions to	on what to	methods and	clearly and	develop
(PHIL)		issues in a	problems	believe with	thinking in the	precisely	knowledge
		novel area of		regard to a	light of	orally and in	and
Summative		philosophy,		difficult	constructive	writing	understandin
Assessment:		and apply		problem	criticism, and		g
essay		their		based on	(ii) develop		
		understandin		careful	their ability to		
		g in		weighing of	amend their		
		addressing		arguments,	written work		
		novel		objections,	in the light of		
		problems		and responses	constructive		
					criticism		
	By working on	By studying	Ву	By preparing	By (i)	By engaging in	By engaging in
	(and if	some of the	investigating	an essay	reflecting on	seminar	seminar
	applicable,	debates in the	and discussing	addressing a	comments on	discussions	discussions
	assessed	area	proposals	specific	their	and preparing	and a variety
	through)	addressed by	presented in	question in	contributions	written	of seminar
		the module,	lectures and	the area	to seminar	assignments	activities
		reading,	seminar	addressed by	discussions		
		dissecting,	readings	the module	made by		
		and discussing			colleagues		
		key texts			and tutors,		
					and (ii)		
					reflecting on		
					feedback on		
					their		
					formative		
					work		

Stage 2	Second Year	Progress	Students will	9	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	
	Option	towards PLO	develop their	(	(i) develop	develop their	develop their	develop their	
	Module		ability to form	t	their ability to	ability to	ability to	ability to	
	(Lecture and		a clear view of	i	dentify and	make	amend their	express	
	Seminar) –		the problems,	ä	articulate	judgements	work in the	themselves	
	(Optional)		puzzles,	5	solutions, and	on what to	light of	clearly and	
	Various 10		views, and	(	(ii) develop	believe with	constructive	concisely	
	Credits		arguments in	t	their ability to	regard to a	criticism	orally and in	
			a specialist	0	construct and	difficult		writing	
	Summative		topic area	0	critically	problem			
	Assessment:			e	evaluate	based on			
	Exam			ä	arguments	careful			
						weighing of			
						arguments,			
						objections,			
						and responses			

By working on	By studying	By	′ (i)	By preparing	By reflecting	By making	
(and if	some specific		0 0	for and		notes in	
	and		d discussing	-		advance of	
assessed	interrelated	pro	oposals	essay-style	formative	seminars,	
through)	problems in a	pre	esented in	exam answer	work	engaging in	
	specialist area	lec	ctures and	on a specific	(including	seminar	
	of philosophy	sei	minar	question in	contributions	discussions,	
		rea	adings, (ii)	the area	to seminar	and producing	
		dis	scussing	addressed by	discussions)	written	
		ar	guments for	the module		assignments	
		an	id against				
		so	lutions,				
		ide	entifying				
		ро	otential				
		ob	jections to				
		the	ose				
		sol	lutions, and				
			vestigating				
			ow those				
			ojections				
			ight be				
			sponded to				

Second Year	Progress	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	
Option	towards PLO	develop their	(i) develop	develop their	develop their	develop their	
Module		ability to form	their ability to	ability to	abilities (i) to	ability to	
(Reading		a clear view of	identify and	make	investigate an	express	
Group) –		the problems,	articulate	judgements	area of	themselves	
(Optional)		puzzles,	solutions, and	on what to	philosophy	clearly and	
Various 10		views, and	(ii) develop	believe with	through	concisely	
Credits		arguments in	their ability to	regard to a	individual	orally and in	
		a specialist	construct and	difficult	reading and	writing	
Summative		topic area	critically	problem	discussion		
Assessment:		without the	evaluate	based on	with peers		
essay		support of	arguments	careful	and (ii) to		
		lectures		weighing of	amend their		
				arguments,	work in the		
				objections,	light of		
				and responses	constructive		
					criticism		

	By working on	By studying	By (i)	By preparing	By (i)	By making
	(and if	some specific	investigating	for and	developing an	notes in
	applicable,	and	and discussing	writing an	understandin	advance of
	assessed	interrelated	proposals	essay answer	g of	seminars,
	through)	problems in a	presented in	on a specific	philosophical	engaging in
		specialist area	reading-	question in	works	extended
		of philosophy	group/semina	the area	through	seminar
		in reading-	r readings, (ii)	addressed by	individual	discussions,
		groups/semin	discussing	the module	reading and	and producing
		ars	arguments for		reading-	written
			and against		group/semina	assignments
			solutions,		r discussions	
			identifying		and (ii)	
			potential		reflecting on	
			objections to		feedback on	
			those		their	
			solutions, and		formative	
			investigating		work	
			how those		(including	
			objections		contributions	
			might be		to seminar	
			responded to		discussions)	
Stage 3	Note: Stage 3 Modules are ind	icative of a typical rang	e of modules chosen in	the final year. Students	may choose to	
	concentrate their options in or	ne or two departments	if they have completed	a Stage 2 module (exclu	uding SRM) in a	
	department that they are not option module in Stage 3.	taking a module from ir	1 Stage 3. Students must	however take a 20 cre	dit Philosophy	

Stage 3	Dissertation	Progress	Students are	The	Students are	Candidates	All	At least one
	(SPS)	towards PLO	expected to	dissertation-	required to	are expected	dissertation	of the SPS
			use the	report should	develop and	to reflect on	students are	public
			knowledge	demonstrate	produce an	the ethical	expected to	lectures are
			they have	a relative	independent	implications	make periodic	arranged and
			gained from	awareness of	research	of their	presentations	organsised by
			studying	key social	project that	research	using	students.
			previous or	science		project and	appropriate	Students are
			current	literature and	their	provide a	presentation	also
			modules to	where	specialist	formal	tools and to	encouaged to
			identify a	relevant how	knowledge of	justfication	produce a	get involved
			, relevant area	previous	a relevant	which is	conference	as a PAL
			of interest for	studies,	topic in the	considered by	poster for an	Mentor, to
			the	concepts and	social and	an ethics	exhibition to	assist with the
			dissertation	theories	political	committee	which other	generation of
			and to	drawn from	sciences and	and their	students and	social media
			consider how	the social	appropriate	dissertation	academic	for the SPS
			they might	sciences	awareness	advisor.	staff are	website.
			offer a new	relate to the	and	Students are	invited at the	
			insight or	theme of their	deployment	also	end of Term	
			critique or	dissertation.	of relevant	encouraged	8.	
			potentially		research	to reflect on		
			develop new		methods.	their personal		
			research data		Subject to BoS			
			or provide a		approval the	practice and		
			new		project may	how it may		
			interpretation		also take the	affect their		
			of exisiting		form of a	choice of		
			data.		short	subject,		
					annotated	methodology		
					research	and analysis		
					documentary	through		
					film or a work	regular		
					placement	dissertation		
					research	workshops		
					report.	where they		
						will receice		
						peer and		
						academic		
						feedback.		

By working on	Students will	Students will		Students will	Students are	As part of the	Presentation
(and if	provide	be required to			also	SPS	and
applicable,	periodic 'work			research	encouraged	Forum/Works	communicatio
assessed	in progress'	literature		training in the	to reflect on		n skills are
through)	submissions	review by the		Social	their personal	are offered	developed
	to their	beginning of		Research	research	training in	through
	dissertaion	Term 8 to		Methods	practice and	video	group work
	advisors. They	discuss with		module and	how it may	production	and will be
	will also be	their advisor.		this will be	affect their	skills in order	summatively
	expected to			enhanced	choice of	to record and	assessed (up
	give short			with specific	subject,	edit video of	to 15% of the
	presentations			skills training	methodology	the SPS	value of the
	on their			(e.g. around	and analysis	seminar	overall
	dissertation			visual	through	speakers.	dissertation
	during the			methods for	regular		mark).
	Term 8			film making)	dissertation		
	dissertation			in Term 6 and	workshops		
	workshops			during the	where they		
	and to			Dissertation	will receive		
	produce a			Workshop	peer and		
	poster			sessions in	academic		
	summarising			Terms 7 and	formative		
	the key scope			8.	feedback.		
	and findings						
	of their						
	research at						
	the end of						
	Term 8. A						
	small						
	proportion of						
	the final mark						
	will be						
	allocated to						
	performance						
	in workshop						
	tasks and						
	presentations.						

Stage 3	The Racial	Progress	Students	Students are		All the key	Students	Students work
	State (SOC)	towards PLO	define,	taught to		readings in	synthesise	in seminar
	OPTION		interpret and	evaluate and		this course	and present	groups each
			explain a	critically		and the	complex	week to
			range of core	analyse a		course	information	consider
			sociological	range of		content are	from across	questions that
			issues relating	discourses on		designed to	the course	often produce
			to race,	race covering		challenge	using	diverse views
			racism, the	topics such as		commonly	technologies	and are
			state and	immigration,		held	such as	required to
			society and	multiculturalis		assumptions	powerpoint	discuss these
			apply race	m, integration		on topics	through	views in a
			critical theory	and		relating to	presenting	manner that
			to a range of	citizenship,		race and the	their work at	is both critical
			social	policing and		nation-state.	the course	and
			concerns and	engage with a		As such	conference	respectful.
			events in	range of data		students will	held in the	
			order to	including		learn how to	last weeks of	
			enhance their	media		evaluate and	the course.	
			knowledge	articles, social		critically	They are also	
			and develop	representatio		question	required to	
			their	ns,		populist	synthesise	
			understandin	government		discourses	complex	
			g of them.	statistics in		relating to	information in	
				order to do		race and the	order to	
				so.		state.	construct	
							responses for	
							their essay.	

By working on	Their	This is		Formatively,	Summatively	Formative
(and if	knowledge	developed		through	assessed	group
applicable,	and	formatively		undertaking	presentation	seminar
assessed	understandin	though group		course	and essay	discussions
through)	g is developed	seminar		readings and		
	formatively	discussions		participating		
	though group	each week		in group		
	seminar	and is		discussions		
	discussions	summatively		seminars, as		
	each week	assessed		well as		
	and is	through an		through the		
	summatively	oral		summative		
	assessed	presentation		assessments –		
	through an	and essay.		essay and		
	oral			presentation.		
	presentation					
	and essay.					

Stage 3	Prisons and	Progress	The module	The theme of	The module	The module	The module	Students will
	Penal Policy	towards PLO	provides a	the module is	draws upon a	explores both	introduces	explore the
	(SPSW)		deep learning	to ascertain	-	the practice	multi-	significance of
	(option)		opportunity	whether or	both	of	disciplinary	'difference'
			for students	not prison	quantitative	imprisonment		amongst
			to explore	works as a	in terms of	and penal	understandin	prison
			prisons and	solution to	official	policy, looking	g prisons and	populations
			penal policy	criminal	statistics and	from the	penal policy	(e.g. gender,
			making. It	behaviour.	qualitative	micro of	including	social class,
			specifically		(using data	prisoner and	perspectives	ethnicity, age)
			aims to take		sets from	staff	of offenders,	and how
			students on a		research	perspectives	victims and	notions of
			critical		studies	to the macro	professionals.	'difference'
			journey to		provided via	of	In doing so	influence the
			explore the		the UK data	international	the module	experience
			tensions		service) to	approaches to	encourages	that those
			generated by		encourage	imprisonment	students to	incarcerated
			approaches		students to	. It draws on	see penal	face. Students
			that seek to		explore a	the module	policy as a	will develop
			punish but		range of	convenor's	complex area	an
			also		different	own research	of decision-	understandin
			rehabilitate.		perspectives	and	making that is	g of how
			The overall		on the use of	experience of	not simply	social
			aim of the		custody. The	visiting	about	inequalities
			module is for		students will	prisons to	punishment	intersect and
			students to		be	bring differing	but one that	overlap with
			ascertain as		encouraged	perspectives	is embedded	one another
			fuller a		to engage	on salient	in a historical	and require a
			picture as		with social	issues such as	and political	multi-faceted
			possible as to		media	how to	rhetoric. A	joined up
			ultimately		platforms	appropriately	key question	penal policy
			whether or		such as	deal with	raised	approach.
			not prison		Twitter and	different	throughout	
			works from		specialist	members of	the module is	
			multiple		resources	the	whether or	
			dimensions		such as the	population	not prison	
			which include		Inside Times	who face	works.	
			political,		prison	different		
			economic and		newspaper.	challenges in		
			social		The students	the custodial		
			perspectives.		will also be	estate, e.g.		

	D	<b>T</b> IA			Data a	CL de la	<b>T</b> I	Constant inte
			One of the		Data on	Students	The seminar	Concerns with
	(and if	taught in a 3	formative		imprisonment	learn	and workshop	inequalities in
	applicable,	hour format	assessments		will be	predominatel	activities are	prison are at
	assessed	with the	within the		presented	y about the	all group	the heart of
	through)	sessions	module is for		throughout	way in which	focused and	this module.
		divided (not	students to		the module	custody	encourage	Issues of
		necessarily	draft a policy		but is	operates in	students to	difference
		equally)	response to a		specifically	England and	debate and	related to
		between a	particular		the a feature	Wales but	discuss	gender,
		lecture and	issue which is		of workshop	within a lense	significant	ethnicity and
		seminar/work	apparent in		activities in	of local	issues such as	age are
		shop based	the penal		week 3, 4, 5	variation and	whether we	especially
		discussion	estate, this		and 6.	in a wider	should	central, and
		and activities.	could be for		Students will	context of the	imprison	disproportion
		Each week	example the		be exposed to	international	children	alities in the
		students will	use of short		different	picture. For	and/or	prison system
		have two	term prison		sources of	example, the	women, the	form their
		readings to	sentences.		information	final session	effectiveness	own bespoke
		complete, one	The		some of	of the module	of short term	session in
		which is	summative		which they	raises the	prison	week 4 as
		theoretical in	assessment		will not have	question of	sentences and	well as being
		its discussion	for the		encountered	whether	the conditions	of significance
		and another	module asks		before	ultimately we	within	throughout
		which	students in		because they	need prisons	custody. In	the module.
		contrasts in	groups to		are specialist	putting	some	Students are
		perspective.	design their		to this area of	forward the	sessions,	asked to
		The	own prison.		interest such	heavily	students are	consider the
		supporting	They are		as the Inside	theoretical	deliberately	different
		questions will	provided with		Times	case for penal	encouraged	needs
		encourage	criteria of		newspaper.	abolition.	to perspective	different
		students to	what the		The students	Within the	take, thinking	groups will
		engage with	design must		for their	workshop for	about how	have when
		debates	include but		summative	this session	different	designing
		around the	are			the students	stakeholders	their prison
		use of	encouraged		presentation	will be tasked	(media,	(summative
		imprisonment	to be as		will have to	with	government,	assessment);
			imaginative		use digital	comparing	prison	this is
			and creative		resources in	international	officers,	particularly
			with their		order to	approaches to	inmates)	important
			choices as		design and	imprisonment	might all	when they
			possible		produce the	namely	operate	reflect on the
			drouving on		produce the	agenting		healthcore

Stage 3	Global Justice	Progress	Understandin	Critically	Undertaking	Engaging in	Learning	Collaborating
	POL00003H	towards PLO	g major	reflecting on	independent	group	communicatio	in seminar
			competing	the	research in	collaboration	n skills and	tasks and
			accounts of	plausibility of	order to	in seminars	presenting	outside the
			global justice;	existing	answer	and group	arguments in	classroom
			understandin	accounts of	seminar	presentations	a clear and	
			g major	global justice,	questions and		structured	
			theoretical	and on their	complete		way	
			perspectives	suitability for	formative and			
			on issues such	application to	summative			
			as migration,	issues such as	assessments.			
			climate	climate				
			change,	change,				
			secession,	migration,				
			and	secession,				
			population	and				
			explusion .	population				
				explusion .				
		By working on	Lectures;	Lectures;	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar
			seminar	seminar	exercises;	exercises and	exercises and	exercises and
			preparation;	exercises;	independent	group	presentations	indpendent
			seminar	independent	study	presentations		study with
			exercises;	study				texts focused
			independent					on this very
			study					subject
		Assessed	Essay	Essay	Essay	n/a	n/a	n/a
		through	(formative);	(formative);	(formative);			
			Essay	Essay	Essay			
			(summative)	(summative)	(summative)			

Stage 3	British	Progress	Analysing the	To develop	Conducting	Engaging in	Learning	Collaborating
ettige e		towards PLO	evolution of	students'	research on a	group	communicatio	in seminar
	After the Cold		British foreign	ability to	number of	collaboration	n skills and	tasks and
	War		policy since	apply and	policy areas	in seminars	presenting	outside the
	POL00018H		1990 using	critically	using both the		arguments in	classroom
	FOLOOUISII		different	-	-		a clear and	
				assess	secondary			
			concepts and	theories of	literature and		structured	
			theories	foreign policy	primary texts		way	
				through the	eg			
				detailed	government			
				examination	docuemnts			
				of a number				
				of empirical				
				cases				
		By working on	Lectures;	Lectures;	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar
			seminar	seminar	exercises;	exercises	exercises	exercises
			preparation;	exercises;	independent			
			seminar	independent	study			
			exercises;	study				
			independent					
			study					
		Assessed	Essay	Essay	Essay	Not formally	Formative	
		through	(formative);	(formative);	(formative);	assessed	and	
			Essay	Essay	Essay		summative	
			(summative)	(summative)	(summative)		work	
							1	

Stage 3	Research-led	Progress	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
	Taught	towards PLO	come to be	develop and	come to be	develop their	develop and	hone their
	Module (PHIL)		able to	demonstrate	able to	, ability to	demonstrate	abilities to
	20 Credits		analyse and	their ability to	present a	amend and	their ability to	work
			generate	, make	sustained and	enhance their	provide	independentl
	Summative		sophisticated	progress and	detailed case	practice as	insightful and	y and in
	Assessment:		logical	identify	for a	thinkers and	detailed	collaboration
	essay		arguments	solutions	judgement	learners	explanations	with others
				even where it'	based on		of	
				s unclear in	careful		philosophical	
				the first	weighing of		problems in	
				instance how	arguments,		clear and	
				to proceed	objections,		accessible	
					and responses		terms	
		By working on	By engaging	By working on	By working to	By critically	By tackling	By pursuing
		(and if	with high-	a cutting-edge	produce a	evaluating	difficult	an
		applicable,	level	topic and	substantial	philosophical	philosophical	exploration of
		assessed	contemporary	engaging with	essay seeking	work and	issues,	a difficult
		through)	debates and	a tutor	to provide an	reflecting on	including	topic,
			developing	working on an	answer to a	feedback	some at the	involving
			arguments,	area in which	challenging	from seminars	forefront of	work on an
			objections,	they research	philosophical	and other	contemporary	extended
			and responses		question	formative	debate	reading list
						opportunities		and regular
								creative
								dialogue with
								their tutor
								and fellow
								students in
								seminar
								discussions